## Application No. Applicantis) 10/532,528 REUTELER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Christopher R. Harmon 3721 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel); (1) Christopher R. Harmon, (3)\_\_\_\_\_. (2) Andrew Dorisio. (4)\_\_\_\_\_ Date of Interview: 13 May 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted. d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: / \* Identification of prior art discussed: SCEPLE CT AL Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) A/A Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. CLATAS WERE DISCUSSED WOUNDING DIFFERENCES Benver park har and september invention. Conseeds were passed from Chan Scope. SUMMESTONS MADE FOR AMENDING CLAIMS TO Monde Derning overlying stevens of the 1974 OF THE BLANK. Examiner Note. You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action